## **Maryland Historical Trust**

Maryland Inventory of Historic Properties number: FA-Z675

Name: B0157/DUNICFREELANT	DRD. a FOR HASSER Mu COX
The bridge referenced herein was inventoried by the Maryland S Historic Bridge Inventory, and SHA provided the Trust with elip The Trust accepted the Historic Bridge Inventory on April 3, 20 determination of eligibility.	gibility determinations in February 2001
Eligibility Recommended	AL TRUST  Eligibility Not Recommended X
Criteria: A B C D Considerations: A  Comments:	BCDEFGNone
Reviewer, OPS:_Anne E. Bruder	Date:3 April 2001
reviewer, ran riogramrelei E. Nurize	Date:3 April 2001

MARYLAND INVENTORY OF HISTORIC BRIDGES HISTORIC BRIDGE INVENTORY MARYLAND STATE HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION/MARYLAND HISTORICAL TRUST

MHT No. <u>BA-2675</u>

SHA Bridge No. Buls/ Bridge name Dunk Freeland Road over Harris Mill Creek
LOCATION: Street/Road name and number [facility carried] Dunk Freeland Road
City/town Between Maryland Line and Gorsuch Mills Vicinity X
County Baltimore
This bridge projects over: Road Railway Water _X Land
Ownership: State County X Municipal Other
HISTORIC STATUS:  Is bridge located within a designated historic district? Yes NoX
Name of district
BRIDGE TYPE: Timber Bridge: Beam Bridge: Truss -Covered Trestle Timber-And-Concrete
Stone Arch Bridge
Metal Truss Bridge _
Movable Bridge: Swing Bascule Single Leaf Bascule Multiple Leaf Vertical Lift Retractile Pontoon
Metal Girder: Rolled Girder: Rolled Girder Concrete Encased Plate Girder: Plate Girder Concrete Encased
Metal Suspension
Metal Arch
Metal Cantilever
Concrete X: Concrete Arch Concrete Slab X Concrete Beam Rigid Frame Other Type Name

				(DH-	2612
<b>DESCRIPTION:</b>					
Setting: Urban	Small town	Rural _	X		
Describe Setting:					
Bridge B0157 carries	Dunk Freeland Roa	ad in a north-s	south direction over	er Harris Mill Cree	ek which
flows in an easterly di The bridge is situated	in a wooded area.	s undeveloped	with only one hor	ise visible from the	e bridge.
Describe Superstruct			* 1 1 1.		
Bridge B0157 is a conshaft concrete pier, of	unuous concrete two	o span siab br	rete parapets. Th	ete abutments wit	in a solid
The length of each sp					
curb width is 20.0 fee					
weight.				•	
TEL 1002 '				D (1	1 1
The 1993 inspection is spalled and delaminate			-		
random cracks with e					
the deck underside					
intrusion, have soft an					
The wingwalls and the	-	ndition, but ap	proximately 25%	of the pier concre	te is soft
and hollow sounding.					
Discuss Major Altera	tions:				
Baltimore County file	es do not indicate th	nat any altera	tions have been u	ndertaken.	•
HISTORY:	·		đ		
WHEN was bridge bu	ilt (actual date or	date range)	1920		
This date is: Actual					
Source of date: Plaqu		S _ County l	bridge files/inspec	tion form <u>X</u>	
Other (specify)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				<del></del>
WHY was the bridge	built?				
The need for a more		ation network	and increased loa	ad capacity in the	decades
following World War	Ι.				
WHO was the design	er?				
State Highway Admir					
WHO was the builde	r?				
Unknown					

WHY was the bridge altered?

N/A

Was this bridge built as part of an organized bridge-building campaign?

As part of an effort by the State to increase load capacity on secondary roads during the 1920s.

BA-2675

## **SURVEYOR/HISTORIAN ANALYSIS:**

This bridge may have Nat	tional Register significanc	e for its association with:
A - Events	B- Person	
C- Engineering/ar	chitectural character	

This bridge does not have National Register significance.

Was the bridge constructed in response to significant events in Maryland or local history?

Reinforced concrete slab bridges are a twentieth century structure type, easily adapted to the need for expedient engineering solutions. Reinforced concrete technology developed rapidly in the early twentieth century with early recognition of the potential for standardized design. The first U.S. attempt to standardize concrete design specifications came in 1903-04 with the formation of the Joint Committee on Concrete and Reinforced Concrete of the American Society of Civil Engineers.

Maryland's road and bridge improvement programs mirrored economic cycles. The first road improvement program of the State Roads Commission was a 7 year program, starting with the Commission's establishment in 1908 and ending in 1915. Due to World War I, the period from 1916 -1920 was one of relative inactivity; only roads of first priority were built. Truck traffic resulting from war-related factories and military installations generated new, heavy traffic unanticipated by the builders of the early road system. From 1920 to 1929, numerous highway improvements occurred in response to the increase in Maryland motor vehicles from 103,000 in 1920 to 320,000 in 1929, with emphasis on the secondary system of feeder roads which moved traffic from the primary roads built before World War I. After World War I, Maryland's bridge system also was appraised as too narrow and structurally inadequate for the increasing traffic, with plans for an expanded bridge program to be handled by the Bridge Division, set up in 1920. In 1920 under Chapter 508 of the Acts of 1920 the State issued a bond of \$3,000,000.00 for road construction; the primary purpose of these monies was to meet the state obligations involving the construction of rural post roads. The secondary purpose of these monies was to fund [with an equal sum from the counties] the building of lateral roads. The number of hard surfaced roads on the state system grew from 2000 in 1920 to 3200 in 1930. By 1930, Maryland's primary system had become inadequate to the huge freight trucks and volume of passenger cars in use, with major improvements occurring in the late 1930s. Most improvements to local roads waited until the years after World War II.

With a diverse topographical domain encompassing numerous small and large crossings, Maryland engineers quickly recognized the need for expedient design and construction.

In the early years, there was a need to replace the numerous single lane timber bridges. Walter Wilson Crosby, Chief Engineer stated in 1906, "The general plan has been to replace these [wood bridges] with pipe culverts or concrete bridges and thus forever do way with the further expense of the maintenance of expensive and dangerous wooden structures". Within a few years, readily constructed standardized bridges of concrete were being built throughout the state.

The creation of standard plans and a description of their use was first announced in the 1912-15 Reports of the State Roads Commission whereby bridges spanning up to 36 feet were to use standardized designs.

Published on a single sheet, the 1912 Standard Plans included those structures that were amenable to such an approach: slab spans, (deck) girder spans, box culverts, box bridges, abutments, and piers

(State Roads Commission 1912). Slab spans, with lengths of 6 to 16 feet in two foot increments, featured a solid parapet that was integrated into the slab, with a roadway of 22 feet.

In the Report for the years 1916-1919, a revision of the standard plans was noted:

During the four years covered by this report, it has been found necessary to revise our standard plans for culverts and bridges, to take care of the increased tonnage which they have been forced to carry. Army cantonments...increased their operations several hundred per cent, and the brunt of the enormous truck traffic resulting therefrom, was borne by the State Roads of Maryland. In addition to these war activities, freight motor lines from Baltimore to Washington, Philadelphia, New York, and various points throughout Maryland, and the weight of many of these trucks when loaded, was in excess of the loads for which our early bridges were designed (State Roads Commission 1920:56).

Published on separate sheets, the new standard plans (State Roads Commission 1919) for slab bridges reveal that the major changes was an increase in roadway width from 22 feet to 24 feet and a redesign of the reinforcement. The slab spans continued to feature solid parapets integrated into the span. The range of span lengths remained 6 to 16 feet, but the next year (1920) witnessed the issue of a supplemental plan for a 20 foot long slab span (State Roads Commission 1920).

Based upon documentary evidence, Baltimore County and City were the early pioneers in concrete bridge building in Maryland. The first reinforced concrete bridge documented in Maryland was the bridge at Sherwood Station, built in 1903 by Baltimore County.

Evidence from historic maps suggests that almost all of the extant concrete slab bridges built before 1940 in Baltimore County replaced earlier bridges. With the exception of two bridges, all of these structures lie on roads whose alignments have changed little since the middle of the nineteenth century. The two exceptions are both located on Shelbourne Avenue in Arbutus. Shelbourne Avenue does not appear on the 1850 map of Baltimore County but does appear on the 1915 map. Both concrete slabs bridges on Shelbourne Avenue, however, were built after 1915. The evidence therefore suggests that these two bridges were also built to replace previous structures.

When the bridge was built and/or given a major alteration, did it have a significant impact on the growth and development of the area?

There is no evidence to suggest that the construction of this bridge had a significant impact on the growth and development of the area.

Is the bridge located in an area which may be eligible for historic designation and would the bridge add to or detract from the historic/visual character of the potential district?

The bridge is not located in a area that may be eligible for historic designation.

Is the bridge a significant example of its type?


No, this is an undistinguished example of its type.

Does the bridge retain integrity of important elements described in Context Addendum? Although the character-defining elements are intact, their integrity has been compromised by severe deterioration.

Is the bridge a significant example of the work of a manufacturer, designer, and/or engineer? The bridge is not a significant example of the work of a manufacturer, designer, and/or engineer.

BA-2675

		evaluation of its significance is made? re an evaluation of its significance is made.	
<b>BIBLIOGRAPHY:</b>		•	
County inspection/bridge Other (list):	files X	SHA inspection/bridge files	
<b>SURVEYOR:</b>			
Date bridge recorded	08/15/95		
Name of surveyor	Colin Farr		
Organization/Address P.	A.C. Spero & Company, S	uite 412, 40 West Chesapeake Ave., Baltimo	re,
MD 21204			
Phone number (410) 296	-1635	<b>FAX number</b> (410) 296-167	0





Inventory # <u>BA - 2675</u>
180157- DUNK FREELAND RD OVER HARRIS Name MILL CREEK
County/State BALTIMORE COUNTY MO Name of Photographer DAVE DIEHL
Date \\05
Location of Negative SNA
Description NORTH APPROACH LOOKING
2
Number 8 of 23/



Inventory # <u>BA - 2675</u>
BOIST- DUNK FREELAND RD OVER Name HARRYS MILL CREEK
County/State BALTIMORE COUNTY/IMD
Name of Photographer DAJE DIEHL
Date \\\(\text{95}\)
Location of Negative SHA
Description WEST ELEVATION LOUKING EAST
Number of 23 4